Case 5:10-cv-02553-RMW Document 39 Filed 08/27/10 Page 1 of 4

1 2	msobol@lchb.com	
3	rheller@lchb.com Allison Elgart (State Bar No. 241901)	
4	aelgart@lchb.com LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNS	TEIN LLP
5	275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339	*E-FILED - 8/27/10*
6	Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008	<u> </u>
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12		
13	ADAM WEISBLATT, JOE HANNA, and	Case No. 5:10-cv-02553-RMW
14	DAVID TURK, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION
15	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [] ORDER CONTINUING INITIAL CASE
16	v.	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
17	APPLE INC., AT&T INC., AT&T MOBILITY LLC, and Does 1-10,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case 5:10-cv-02553-RMW Document 39 Filed 08/27/10 Page 2 of 4

1	Plaintiffs Adam Weisblatt, Joe Hanna and David Turk ("Plaintiffs"), Defendant
2	Apple Inc. ("Apple"), and Defendants AT&T Mobility LLC ("ATTM") and AT&T Inc.
3	("AT&T"), by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:
4	WHEREAS, on June 9, 2010, Plaintiffs filed their initial Class Action Complaint
5	in this case;
6	WHEREAS, on June 23, 2010, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint in
7	this case;
8	WHEREAS, on June 11, 2010, another class action was filed in this District
9	against Apple and ATTM, Logan v. Apple Inc. et. al., N.D. Cal. Case No. 4:10-cv-02588-CW (the
10	"Logan" case), addressing substantially the same conduct, and raising substantially the same
11	issues, as this case;
12	WHEREAS, the Logan case is currently assigned to the Hon. Claudia Wilken;
13	WHEREAS, on July 1, 2010, Apple filed an Administrative Motion to Consider
14	Whether Cases Should Be Related (Docket No. 19) ("Motion to Relate"), asking the Court to
15	issue an Order relating the <i>Logan</i> case to this case;
16	WHEREAS, the Initial Case Management Conference in this case is currently
17	scheduled for September 3, 2010 at 10:30 am;
18	WHEREAS, the parties agree that it would be appropriate and would promote
19	judicial efficiency, in this case and in the Logan case, for the Initial Case Management
20	Conference to be postponed briefly until after the Court has ruled on Apple's pending Motion to
21	Relate;
22	WHEREAS, the parties have not previously requested any continuances of the
23	Initial Case Management Conference;
24	WHEREAS, the requested continuance would not alter or affect any other dates or
25	deadlines in this case, other than the deadlines directly associated with the Initial Case
26	Management Conference;
27	
28	

Case 5:10-cv-02553-RMW Document 39 Filed 08/27/10 Page 3 of 4

1	THEREFORE, Plaintiffs, Apple, ATTM, and AT&T STIPULATE and	
2	respectfully request that Court issue an Order as follows:	
3	1. The Initial Case Management Conference in this case is continued until	
4	October 15, 2010 at 10:30 am.	
5	2. The parties shall submit a Joint Case Management Conference Statement by no	
6	later than October 5, 2010.	
7	3. All other deadlines associated with the Initial Case Management Conference	
8	are continued accordingly.	
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
10		
11	Dated: August 24, 2010 CROWELL & MORING, LLP	
12	By: /s/ M. Kay Martin	
13	M. Kay Martin Attorneys for Defendants	
14	AT&T Mobility LLC and AT&T Inc.	
15	Dated: August 24, 2010 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
16	By: /s/ Penelope Preovolos	
17	Penelope A. Preovolos Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.	
18	D (1 A ()	
19	Dated: August 24, 2010 LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP	
20	By:/s/ Roger Heller	
21	Roger Heller Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
22	Attorneys for Framitins	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	CERRIT A TION AND IT ORDER	

Case 5:10-cv-02553-RMW Document 39 Filed 08/27/10 Page 4 of 4 [] ORDER Pursuant to Stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED. The Honorable Judge Ronald M. Whyte Dated: 8/27/10 U. S. District Court I, Roger Heller, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this Stipulation. In compliance with General Order 45, section X.B., I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other signatories. By: /s/ Roger Heller 890239.1